# phystricks Manual <br> If Sage can compute it, $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{TX}$ can draw it 

Laurent Claessens

June 23, 2017

## Contents

1 Preparation ..... 1
1.1 Dependencies and installation ..... 1
1.2 In your $\mathrm{LAT}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ file ..... 2
1.3 Where do I find examples ? ..... 2
1.4 Structure of your phystricks file ..... 2
2 Draw points ..... 3
2.1 Segments ..... 3
2.1.1 Orthogonal ..... 3
3 Drawing curves ..... 4
3.1 Drawing functions ..... 4
3.2 Parametric curve ..... 4
3.3 Interpolation curve ..... 5
3.4 Lagrange polynomial, Hermite interpolation ..... 5
3.5 Compute more plotpoints (sample) ..... 5
3.6 Derivative, tangent, and other differential geometry ..... 7
4 Perspective ..... 9
5 Figure, subfigure ..... 11
6 Put marks on the objects ..... 11
6.1 On angles ..... 11
7 How to get the LaTeX counters ? ..... 12
8 Axes and grid ..... 15
9 Known issues ..... 15

## 1 Preparation

### 1.1 Dependencies and installation

1. You need a working sage installation.
2. Download phystricks from github and make it available from Sage (from phystricks import * has to work).
3. I don't even speak about having a working $\mathrm{IAT}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ installation with Tikz installed.

### 1.2 In your $\mathrm{EAT}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ file

The preamble of your $\mathrm{LA}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ file has to contain

```
\usepackage{calc}
\usepackage{tikz}
\usetikzlibrary{patterns}
\usetikzlibrary{calc}
\newcounter{defHatch}
\newcounter{defPattern}
\setcounter{defHatch}{0}
\setcounter{defPattern}{0}
```

and you (don't really) have to compile with pdflatex -shell-escape.

### 1.3 Where do I find examples ?

You will found (figuratively) tons of examples in the following documents :

1. In the demo document. The sources are included in the phystricks's repository; in the subdirectory phystricks/testing/demonstration. Browse the pdf at http://laurent.claessens-donadello.eu/ pdf/phystricks-demo.pdf.
2. In mazhe. Download the source at https://github.com/LaurentClaessens/mazhe/and browse the pdf at http://laurent.claessens-donadello.eu/pdf/mazhe.pdf
3. In smath.Download the source at https://github.com/LaurentClaessens/smath/ and browse the pdf at http://laurent.claessens-donadello.eu/pdf/smath.pdf.

Since every single functionality of phystricks is used in at least one picture of mazhe or smath, we are not going to give so much examples in this document.

You are also invited to read the file Constructors.py; the docstring are explaining the creation of most of the graph types.

If you need something special or if you encounter any difficulty, send me an email.

### 1.4 Structure of your phystricks file

Most of your phystricksfiles will have the following structure :

```
# -*- coding: utf8 -*-
from phystricks import *
def QLXFooBDalHMaT():
    pspict,fig= SinglePicture("QLXFooBDalHMaT")
    #pspict.dilatation_X(1)
    #pspict.dilatation_Y(1)
    pspict.dilatation(1)
    # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #
    # The important lines are here
    # Define here your objects
    # example :
    P=Point (1,3)
    pspict.DrawGraphs(P)
    pspict.DrawDefaultAxes()
    ##############################
    fig.no_figure()
    fig.conclude()
    fig.write_the_file()
```

We will see later the significance of these lines.

## 2 Draw points

Here is the code corresponding to one red point with two marks.

```
from phystricks import *
def OnePoint():
    pspict,fig = SinglePicture("OnePoint")
    P = Point (1, 1)
    P.parameters.color = "red"
    P.put_mark(dist=0.2, angle=30, text="\(P\)",pspict=pspict)
    P.put_mark(dist=0.2, angle= -90,text="\(Q\)",pspict=pspict)
    pspict.DrawGraphs(P)
    fig.no_figure()
    fig.conclude()
    fig.write_the_file()
```

1. Compile it once in the Sage terminal :
```
sage: attach("phystricksOnePoint.py");OnePoint()
The result is on figure \ref{LabelFigOnePoint}. % From file OnePoint
\newcommand{\CaptionFigOnePoint}{<+Type your caption here+>}
\input{Fig_OnePoint.pstricks}
Warning: the auxiliary file LabelFigOnePoint.phystricks.aux seems not \hookleftarrow
    to exist.
    Compile your LaTeX file.
This is a second (or more) mark on the same point
--------------- For your LaTeX file -----------------
\begin{center}
    \input{Fig_OnePoint.pstricks}
\end{center}
-----------------------------------------------------
sage:
```

2. As suggested by the Sage's output input the file Fig_OnePoint.pstricks in your $\mathrm{IA}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ document.
3. Compile your document with pdflatex <mydocument> -shell-escape
4. Re-do the compilation in Sage
5. Re-do the $\mathrm{IAT}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ compilation.

If you don't compile twice, some elements can be badly placed, especially the marks that you put on points like the $P$ and $Q$ in this example.

If you want to know why, this is related to the mechanism of catching the $\mathrm{LA}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ 's internal counters(here the size of the box) by phystricks, see section 7

The result should be


### 2.1 Segments

### 2.1.1 Orthogonal

If seg is a segment from A to B. There are many cases in which you want a segment orthogonal to seg.

1. Let $P$ be a point outside seg. The segment from $P$ to its orthogonal projection on seg is
```
seg.orthogonal_through(P)
```

2. Let $P$ be a point on seg. The segment from $P$ which is orthogonal to seg is
```
seg.orthogonal_through(point=P)
```

If you do not provide the optional argument point, it will be the initial point of seg.

## 3 Drawing curves

All the curves are internally converted into parametric curve and then transformed into a large number of small segments. Tikz will only see these segments. For that reason, we are able to draw virtually anything that Sage can compute : we are not bound by Tikz's internals, and even less by $\mathrm{LA}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ 's legacyembarrassingmakemecrazy limitations.

### 3.1 Drawing functions

For drawing the function $x \mapsto x^{2}$ on $[m x, M x]$ the syntax is :

```
x=var('x')
f=phyFunction (x**2).graph(mx,Mx)
pspict.DrawGraphs(f)
```

The function itself (what is inside the phyFunction argument) is a Sage expression, so respecting the Sage syntax and using any function that Sage know.

In fact you can put inside phyFunction (I guess) anything that has a __call__ method, as long as it returns real numbers.

The following is legal:

```
def fun(b):
    x=var(', x')
    f=sin(x)/x
    s=numerical_integral(f,0.1,b)[0]
    return s
def MyPictureName():
    pspict,fig= SinglePicture("MyPictureName")
    f=phyFunction(fun).graph(0,10)
    pspict.DrawGraphs(f)
```

and draws the graph of

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \mapsto \int_{0.1}^{x} \frac{\sin (t)}{t} d t \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.2 Parametric curve

For the curve

$$
\begin{align*}
\gamma:[a, b] & \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}  \tag{2}\\
t & \mapsto\left(f_{1}(t), f_{2}(t)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

the syntax is :

```
f1=phyFunction( ... )
f2=phyFunction( ... )
curve=ParametricCurve( f1,f2,interval=(a,b) )
```

You can omit the interval argument; in this case the interval of $f 1$ will be used, but such implicit transfer of property is a bad practic $\bigoplus^{1}$

Here is an example code :

```
# -*- coding: utf8 -*-
from phystricks import *
def LARGooSLxQTdPC():
    pspict,fig = SinglePicture("LARGooSLxQTdPC")
    pspict.dilatation(3)
    x=var('x')
    f1=phyFunction( sin(2*x) )
    f2=phyFunction( cos(3*x) )
    curve=ParametricCurve(f1,f2,interval=(0, 2*pi))
    pspict.DrawGraphs(curve)
    pspict.DrawDefaultAxes()
    pspict.comment="There is a lack of plotpoints, and this is normal \hookleftarrow
        because this picture comes from the documentation."
    fig.conclude()
    fig.write_the_file()
```

The result is on figure 1 You see that too few points are plotted, so that the picture is not quite well curved. This problem can be fixed using the plotpoints attribute of the curve; we will see that later.


Figure 1: This is a parametric curve, a Lyssajou.

### 3.3 Interpolation curve

$<++>$

### 3.4 Lagrange polynomial, Hermite interpolation

$<++>$

### 3.5 Compute more plotpoints (sample)

As seen on figure 1 the default setting does not compute enough «intermediate» points to produce a visually correct result on some curves.

The easiest way to make the curve more smooth is to increase the plotpoints attribute; as an example :

[^0]```
f=phyFunction( sin(x)/x ).graph(0.01,5)
f.parameters.plotpoints=500
```

For fixing the ideas, let's say plotpoints=100. Then the default behaviour is to consider 100 values of the parameters that regularly spaced between its minimum and its maximum. The drawn curve is then the interpolation curve of the corresponding points.

This is not always adapted, and we have two ways to adapt this mechanism to particular cases.
Add selected plot points We can make compute some more points by adding parameters values to the list added_plotpoints:

```
curve=ParametricCurve( f1,f2,interval=(0,1) )
curve.parameters.added_plotpoints=[ 0.001, pi/5 ]
```

In this case we will compute 102 points : the usual 100 plus the ones corresponding to the values 0.001 and $\pi / 5$ of the parameters.

Force smoothing We can do

```
curve=ParametricCurve( f1,f2,interval=(0,1) )
curve.parameters.force_smooth=True
```

In this case, the 100 interpolation points will be taken regularly spaced with respect to the integral of the curvature. In other words $\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=1, \ldots, 50}$ are chosen in such a way that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{x_{i}}^{x_{i+1}} c(t) d t \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is constant with respect to to $i$.
An example :

```
# -*- coding: utf8 -*-
from phystricks import *
def PBFCooVlPiRBpt():
    pspict,fig = SinglePicture("PBFCooVlPiRBpt")
    pspict.dilatation(3)
    x=var('x')
    f1=phyFunction( sin(2*x) )
    f2=phyFunction( cos(3*x) )
    curve=ParametricCurve(f1,f2,interval=(0, 2*pi))
    curve.parameters.force_smoothing=True
    pspict.DrawGraphs(curve)
    pspict.DrawDefaultAxes()
    pspict.comment="There is a lack of plotpoints, and this is normal \hookleftarrow
        because this picture comes from the documentation."
    fig.no_figure()
    fig.conclude()
    fig.write_the_file()
```



Is it better that figure 1? The four angles are for sure smoother. However, the computation of these points at "regular curvature" interval can take forever and it is often much faster to simply add thousands of plotpoints.

### 3.6 Derivative, tangent, and other differential geometry

A phyFunctionGraph object has a method derivative that returns a phyFunction of the derivative.
Here is an example code :

```
from phystricks import *
def FunctionThird():
    pspict,fig= SinglePicture("FunctionThird")
    pspict.dilatation(0.7)
    var('x')
    f = phyFunction( x*cos(x) )
    mx}=-
    Mx = 5
    F=f.graph(mx,Mx)
    G = f.derivative().graph(mx,Mx)
    G.parameters.color = "red"
    pspict.DrawGraphs(F,G)
    pspict.DrawDefaultAxes()
    pspict.comment="The function \( x\cos(x)\) (blue) and its derivative (\hookleftarrow
        red)."
    fig.no_figure()
    fig.conclude()
    fig.write_the_file()
```



You also have methods to get the tangent and normal vector.

```
# -*- coding: utf8 -*-
# This is the example you also have in the README.md
from phystricks import *
def VSJOooJXAwbVEt():
    pspict,fig= SinglePicture("VSJOooJXAwbVEt")
    pspict.dilatation(1)
    O=Point (0,0)
    # center, radius
    circle=Circle( 0,2 )
    # Points are parametrized by their angle (degree)
    A=circle.get_point(130)
    B=circle.get_point(220)
    tg=circle.get_tangent_vector(30)
    # dist : the distance between the circle and the mark.
    # text : the LaTeX code that will be placed there.
    A.put_mark(dist=0.3,text="$\lim_{s}(F\circ\gamma')$",pspict=pspict)
    B.put_mark(dist=0.3,text="$K$",pspict=pspict)
    pspict.DrawGraphs(circle,A,tg,B)
    fig.no_figure()
    fig.conclude()
    fig.write_the_file()
```



You can grab a list of points regularly spaced on a curve with respect to the arc length.

```
# -*- coding: utf8 -*-
from phystricks import *
def GKMEooBcNxcWBt():
    pspict,fig = SinglePicture("GKMEooBcNxcWBt")
    var('x')
    f1 = phyFunction( x*sin(x) )
    f3 = phyFunction( x*cos(x) )
    llI = 0
    llF = 5
    F2 = ParametricCurve(f1,f3,interval=(llI,llF))
    for ll in F2.getRegularLengthParameters(llI,llF,2):
        v1 = F2.get_tangent_vector(ll)
        v2 = F2.get_normal_vector(ll)
        pspict.DrawGraphs(v1,v2)
    pspict.DrawGraphs(F2)
    pspict.DrawDefaultAxes()
    fig.no_figure()
    fig.conclude()
    fig.write_the_file()
```



By the way you should note the method getRegularLengthParameters that return a list of parameters value such that the corresponding points are regularly spaced on the curve (with respect to the arc length). Namely

```
F2.getRegularLengthParameters(llI,llF,2):
```

returns a list of parameters such that the arc length between two points is 2 .

## 4 Perspective

You can make your cube opaque (non-transparent) with the method make_opaque.

## Exemple 1

```
# -*- coding: utf8 -*-
```

```
from phystricks import *
def IllusionNHwEtp():
    pspict,fig = SinglePicture("IllusionNHwEtp")
    pspict.dilatation(0.7)
    perspective=ObliqueProjection(45,sqrt(2)/2)
    l=2
    P=(0,0)
    cubesP=[]
    cubesL=[]
    cubesH=[]
    profondeur=7
    longueur=4
    hauteur=4
    for i in range(0,profondeur):
        cubesP.append(perspective.cuboid( P,l,l,l ))
        Q=cubesP[-1].c2 [3]
        P=(Q.x,Q.y)
    P=(0,0)
    for i in range(0,longueur):
        cubesL.append(perspective.cuboid(P,l,l,l))
        Q=cubesL[-1].c1[2]
        P=(Q.x,Q.y)
    for i in range(0,hauteur):
        cubesH.append(perspective.cuboid(P,l,l,l))
        Q=cubesH[-1].c1[0]
        P=(Q.x,Q.y)
    cubesP.reverse() # Ainsi les plus éloignés sont tracés en premier.
    for i,cub in enumerate(cubesP):
        cub.make_opaque()
        pspict.DrawGraphs(cub)
    for i,cub in enumerate(cubesL):
        cub.make_opaque()
        pspict.DrawGraphs(cub)
    for i,cub in enumerate(cubesH):
        cub.make_opaque()
        pspict.DrawGraphs(cub)
    fig.no_figure()
    fig.conclude()
    fig.write_the_file()
```



## 5 Figure, subfigure

1. The caption of the figure is not given in the phystricks code, but has to be inserted in the LaTeX document.
2. On the contrary, the subfigures caption are from the phystricks code

You should use the utility new_picture.py to generate the skeleton of your figure.

## 6 Put marks on the objects

One can always put a mark on an object; the position is by default automatically determined. The general statement is :

```
obj.put_mark(dist,angle,text,mark_point=None,added_angle=None,pspict=\hookleftarrow
    None,position="")
```


### 6.1 On angles

You can add a mark inside an angle. The positioning is automatic, and needs two passes.

## Exemple 2

```
# -*- coding: utf8 -*-
from phystricks import *
def FBTCooBKTryQ():
    pspict,fig= SinglePicture("FBTCooBKTryQ")
    pspict.dilatation_X(1)
    pspict.dilatation_Y(1)
    O=Point (0,0)
    A=0+(2,1)
    C=0+(-2,-1)
    s1=Segment(C,A).dilatation(1.5)
    P=0+(3,-1)
    s2=Segment (0, P)
    a1=AngleAOB(P,0,A)
    a2=AngleAOB(C,0,P,r=0.3)
    a1.put_mark(text="\( 24\)",pspict=pspict)
    a2.put_mark(text="\( 130 \)",pspict=pspict)
    no_symbol(A,O,C)
    pspict.DrawGraphs(s1,s2,a1,a2,A,0,C)
    fig.no_figure()
    fig.conclude()
    fig.write_the_file()
```

Picture: FBTCooBKTryQ


## 7 How to get the LaTeX counters ?

We are going to explain one important mechanism in phystricks about its interaction with $\mathrm{ET}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$. For we consider the code

```
from phystricks import *
def OnePoint():
    pspict,fig = SinglePicture("OnePoint")
    P = Point (1,1)
    P.parameters.color = "red"
    P.put_mark(dist=0.2, angle=30,text="\(P\)",pspict=pspict)
    P.put_mark(dist=0.2, angle= -90, text="\(Q\)", pspict=pspict)
    pspict.DrawGraphs(P)
    fig.no_figure()
    fig.conclude()
    fig.write_the_file()
```

We compile it in a Sage terminal :

```
sage: attach("phystricksOnePoint.py");OnePoint()
The result is on figure \ref{LabelFigOnePoint}. % From file OnePoint
\newcommand{\CaptionFigOnePoint}{<+Type your caption here+>}
\input{Fig_OnePoint.pstricks}
Warning: the auxiliary file LabelFigOnePoint.phystricks.aux seems not to \hookleftarrow
    exist.
    Compile your LaTeX file.
This is a second (or more) mark on the same point
--------------- For your LaTeX file -----------------
\begin{center}
    \input{Fig_OnePoint.pstricks}
\end{center}
------------------------------------------------------
sage:
```

If you input now the file Fig_OnePoint.pstricks in your LATEX document, you'll see a beautiful red point with two marks, a $P$ and a $Q$.


However, the marks are badly placed, this is the sense of the warning about the existence of the file LabelFigOnePoint.phystricks.aux. In fact the file Fig_OnePoint.pstricks does not only contains the
tikz code for the picture, but also a pure $\mathrm{IA}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ code asking latex to write the dimensions of the boxes $P$ and $Q$ in an auxiliary file.

Just in order to make is cryptic, these are lines like :
\makeatletter\@ifundefined\{writeOfphystricks\}\{\newwrite\{\writeOfphystricks\}\}\{\}\makeatother\%
\setlength\{\lengthOfhomemokyDOTSagesrcbinsageipython\}\{\totalheightof $\{\backslash(P \backslash)\}\} \%$
\immediate\write\writeOfphystricks\{totalheightof1903839d9021e180dd790c4cc63081c63b2fe6f1:\the\lengthOfh
Now you can reenter Sage and recompile the picture :

```
sage: attach("phystricksOnePoint.py");OnePoint()
The result is on figure \ref{LabelFigOnePoint}. % From file OnePoint
\newcommand{\CaptionFigOnePoint}{<+Type your caption here+>}
\input{Fig_OnePoint.pstricks}
This is a second (or more) mark on the same point
--------------- For your LaTeX file -----------------
\begin{center}
    \input{Fig_OnePoint.pstricks}
\end{center}
```

The warning disappeared and now phystricks has read the auxiliary file containing the dimensions of the boxes. The $P$ and $Q$ are then now placed taking their real dimension into account.

The auxiliary file contains the lines

```
totalheightof1903839d9021e180dd790c4cc63081c63b2fe6f1:6.83331pt-
widthof1903839d9021e180dd790c4cc63081c63b2fe6f1:7.80904pt-
totalheightof15a6448f2b408bb6a0dabb437cc671b7beb909fc:8.77776pt-
widthof15a6448f2b408bb6a0dabb437cc671b7beb909fc:7.90555pt-
```

The box is identified by a hash of its $\mathrm{EA}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ code. The reason is that almost(?) any string can be valid $\mathrm{EAT}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ code ${ }^{2}$, so the parsing of this auxiliary file is more or less impossible if the actual $\mathrm{LA}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ code is included.

Relaunch pdfter ${ }_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ and you'll see the points correctly placed.
Conclusion : when you add some $\mathrm{EAT}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ code in your picture, you need one more pass of pdfta $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{E}}$ Xand phystricks in order to get the marks right.

This mechanism of making $\mathrm{EA}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ write values in an auxiliary file is general and any latex internal counters can be accessed in your python code (as Python's float).

You don't believe? Here is a picture with the following specifications :

1. The line slope is the number of the section (here we have $7=7$ ).
2. The line is drawn from $x=0$ to $x=x_{\max }$ computed in such a way that $y_{\max }=5$.
3. A dilatation in the $x$-direction is computed in such a way that the picture has 10 cm length.
4. The page number is written just on the top of coordinates $\left(x_{\max }, y_{\max }\right)$.

Here is a newpage for reasons that will be explained right on the next page.

[^1]Obviously this kind of picture has to be recompiled each time we change the containing document, and it can be wrong if the picture happens to be on the top of a page; in this case, $\mathrm{IAT}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ sees the request for writing the page number on the bottom of the previous page.

## Exemple 3



```
# -*- coding: utf8 -*-
from __future__ import division
from phystricks import *
def RJDEoobOibtkfv():
    pspict,fig= SinglePicture("RJDEoobOibtkfv")
    # Taking the value of the LaTeX's counters "section" and "page"
    section=pspict.auxiliary_file.get_counter_value("section",default_value\hookleftarrow
            =1)
    page=pspict.auxiliary_file.get_counter_value("page ")
    # You compute with it as normal Python float
    xmax=5/section
    pspict.dilatation_X(10/xmax)
    # Create the picture itself using the computed numbers :
    x=var('x')
    f=phyFunction(section*x).graph(0, xmax)
    f.put_mark(0.2, angle=None,added_angle=0,text="\( {} \)".format(page), 山
            pspict=pspict)
    pspict.DrawGraphs(f)
    pspict.DrawDefaultGrid()
    pspict.DrawDefaultAxes()
    pspict.comment=r"""
    \begin{enumerate}
    \item
    slope of the line is equal to the section number
    \item
    the page number is written.
    \item
    the X dilatation makes the real picture measure 10 cm
    \end{enumerate}
    " " "
    fig.no_figure()
```

The default value for the section counter is given to avoid division by zero, because zero is the defaultdefault value : the one which is returned at first compilation, when the auxiliary file does not yet contain the value of the counter (there is a bootstrap here).

## 8 Axes and grid

Adding axes and grid is as simple as

```
pspict.DrawDefaultGrid()
pspict.DrawDefaultAxes()
```

Since the grid has to adapt itself to the drawn objects and the axes have to adapt to the grid :

- You have to put these lines after any other pspict.DrawGraphs invocation. If not, the result is unpredictable, but is often an error due to a too large bounding box.
- You have to invoke the grid before the axes.


## 9 Known issues

There are some known issues.

1. When performing a dilatation (especially with different $x$ and $y$ factors), some objects do not behave correctly. This is the case of the marks on polygon and the coding of a drawing (small bars in order to indicate that two lines have same length).
2. Rotating a whole picture is very poorly tested.
3. The following pictures in smath are incorrect : JSYR, ZBHL, KYVA, DY JN. Probably due to a bad managing of the dilatation.

[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ phystricks contains lots of such "if an argument is missing I will search it somewhere" mechanisms.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Thanks to the catcode mechanism, it seems to me that latex is the most introspective programming language ever.

